PATENT Attorney Docket No. 450100-3922.2

U.S. Appln. No. 10/081,615 Reply to Office Action dated January 19, 2011

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the application are respectfully

requested in view of the amendments and remarks herewith, which place the application into

condition for allowance. The present amendment is being made to facilitate prosecution of the

application.

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS AND FORMAL MATTERS

Claims 25-42 are currently pending. Claims 25, 30, 35, and 26, which are

independent, are hereby amended. Support for this amendment is provided throughout the

Specification, specifically at page 13, lines 10-25.

No new matter has been introduced by this amendment. Changes to the claims

are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103,

or §112. Rather, these changes are made simply for clarification and to round out the scope of

protection to which Applicant is entitled.

II. SUPPORT FOR THIS AMENDMENT

Citations to Figures and Specification locations are provided. However, such

citations are provided merely as examples and are not intended to limit the interpretation of the

claims or to evidence or create any estoppel.

As an example, non-limiting exemplary support of the amendment can be found

at page 13, lines 10-25 of the Specification, which is reproduced as follows:

Page 15, lines 10-25:

Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10151 212-588-0800

Customer No. 20999

Page 12 of 15

00879804.DOC

As shown in Fig. 5 in a functional block diagram of the personal computer 21, when the personal computer 21 is connected to WWW sites of the stations 1, 2, and 3, it receives, through the interface 40, hypertexts containing commands for determining behaviors of electronic devices. Then the browser application 41 installed in the personal computer 21 deals with the hypertexts to link text data, still or moving image data, audio data, and so forth, and to form a multimedia picture. The multimedia picture is show on the display 22 by a display controller 42.

When commands for determining behaviors of electronic devices are contained in the hypertext, the browser application 41 arranges these commands in the picture.

III. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 25-40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 5,552,833 to Henmi, et al. (hereinafter, merely "Henmi") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,204,662 to Oda et al. (hereinafter, merely "Oda") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,787,259 to Haroun, et al. (hereinafter, merely "Haroun") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,699,089 to Murray (hereinafter, merely "Murray").

IV. RESPONSE TO REJECTIONS

Claim 25 recites, inter alia:

...displaying means for displaying the text based control commands in web pages by using a web browser application. (emphasis added)

Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151 212-588-0800 Customer No. 20999

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 450100-3922.2

U.S. Appln. No. 10/081,615 Reply to Office Action dated January 19, 2011

Applicant respectfully submits that Henmi, Oda, Haroun, Kuwamoto, and Murray,

taken either alone or in combination, fail to teach or disclose the above-identified features of

claim 25. Specifically, nothing is found that teaches or discloses "displaying means for

displaying the text based control commands in web pages by using a web browser

application," as recited in claim 25.

Therefore, claim 25 is patentable.

Claims 30, 35, and 36, which recite similar feature with that of claim 25, are also

patentable for similar reasons.

As nothing in the prior art cited in the Office Action cures the above-identified

deficiencies, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections.

V. DEPENDENT CLAIMS

The other claims in this application are each dependent from one of the

independent claims discussed above and are therefore believed patentable for at least the same

reasons. As nothing in the prior art cited in the Office Action cures the above-identified

deficiencies, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections.

As each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however,

the individual reconsideration of the patentability of each on its own merits is respectfully

requested.

Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151 212-588-0800

Customer No. 20999

Page 14 of 15

00879804.DOC

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 450100-3922.2

U.S. Appln. No. 10/081,615 Reply to Office Action dated January 19, 2011

CONCLUSION

Similarly, because Applicant maintains that all claims are allowable for at least

the reasons presented hereinabove, in the interests of brevity, this response does not comment on

each and every comment made by the Examiner in the Office Action. This should not be taken

as acquiescence of the substance of those comments, and Applicant reserves the right to address

such comments.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that all of the

claims in this application are patentable and Applicant respectfully requests early passage to

issue of the present application,

Please charge any additional fees that may be needed, and credit any

overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

Attorneys for Applicant

Thomas F. Presson Reg. No. 41,442

(212) 588-0800

Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151 212-588-0800 Customer No. 20999